Viscosity bound for anisotropic superfluids in higher derivative gravity

Arpan Bhattacharyya [a] **and Dibakar Roychowdhury**[a]

[a] *Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, C.V. Raman Avenue, Bangalore 560012, India*

E-mail:

arpan@cts.iisc.ernet.in,dibakarphys@gmail.com, dibakar@cts.iisc.ernet.in

Abstract: In the present paper, based on the principles of gauge/gravity duality we analytically compute the shear viscosity to entropy (η/s) ratio corresponding to the super fluid phase in Einstein Gauss-Bonnet gravity. From our analysis we note that the ratio indeed receives a finite temperature correction below certain critical temperature ($T < T_c$). This proves the non universality of η/s ratio in higher derivative theories of gravity. We also compute the upper bound for the Gauss-Bonnet coupling (λ) corresponding to the symmetry broken phase and note that the upper bound on the coupling does not seem to change as long as we are close to the critical point of the phase diagram. However the corresponding lower bound of the η/s ratio seems to get modified due to the finite temperature effects.

arXiv:1410.3222v2 [hep-th] 18 Feb 2015

Contents

1 Overview and Motivation **1**

2 The holographic set up **3**

3 η/s for anisotropic superfluid **7**
3.1 Calculation of η_{yz}/s 7
3.2 Calculation of η_{xy}/s 10

4 Causality constraint **13**
4.1 Unbroken phase 13
4.2 Broken phase 14

5 Summary and final remarks **16**

A Details of linearized equations of motion for symmetry unbroken phase **17**

B Details of linearized equations of motion for symmetry broken phase **18**

1 Overview and Motivation

In the recent years the AdS/CFT correspondence [1] has been found to provide an excellent framework to study various properties of a large class of strongly coupled gauge theories that admit a dual description in the form of the classical theory of gravity defined in asymptotically Anti-de-Sitter (AdS) space time. One of the significant achievements of AdS/CFT duality is that it provides a universal lower bound for the shear viscosity to entropy ratio namely,

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \tag{1.1}$$

for a wide class of strongly coupled field theories that could be described in terms of the usual two derivative Einstein gravity as the dual counterpart [2–5]. This universal bound has remarkable agreement to that with the experimentally measured shear viscosity in various systems like the quark gluon plasma or the cold atom systems at unitarity [6–8].

As per as the real world systems are concerned, it has been known for quite some time that the shear viscosity to entropy ratio is not universal in the sense that it depends on the temperature of the system. This observation was in fact enough motivating to look forward

for certain theoretical framework that eventually explains this crucial experimental fact. At this stage it is worthwhile to mention that one such (theoretical) attempt to address the above observational fact comes from the standard prescription of gauge/gravity duality.

Recently in certain holographic calculations it has been observed that for p-wave (non abelian) superfluids the above universality of the η/s ratio seems to get violated below certain critical temperature ($T < T_c$) [9–12]. This is due to the fact that in the presence of the p-wave order parameter the spatial rotational symmetry times the $U(1)$ rotational symmetry generated by one of the $SU(2)$ generator namely τ^3 gets spontaneously broken in the bulk. As a result the global $SO(3)$ symmetry of the boundary theory gets spontaneously broken to the global $SO(2)$ symmetry which therefore results in a spatial anisotropy for the boundary theory [13–17].

Motivated from the above analysis, the purpose of the present article is to compute the η/s ratio corresponding to the symmetry broken phase considering the Einstein Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) gravity coupled to Yang-Mills field in AdS_5. Computation of η/s ratio in higher derivative theories of gravity is an interesting project in itself since the universality of the lower bound does get violated automatically without going into any super-fluid/symmetry broken phase of the system [18–26][1]. The question that we would mostly like to address in this article could be stated as what is the corresponding finite temperature corrections to shear viscosity to entropy (η/s) ratio in EGB gravity. In other words, the kind of question that we are going to address in this paper eventually raises the fact that the result for the η/s ratio in the context of EGB gravity should also receive some finite temperature corrections like in the case for the usual two derivative theory of gravity [9–12].

In our analysis we consider the symmetry to be broken explicitly in the (x, y) plane while it preserves symmetry in the (y, z) plane. Therefore a natural expectation would be to find the corresponding η_{yz}/s ratio to be unchanged and it should match with the earlier observations in EGB gravity [19]. Whereas, on the other hand, some interesting physics should emerge while we compute the η_{xy}/s ratio corresponding to the symmetry broken phase since the interaction between the gravitons to that with the gauge bosons in the symmetry broken phase should yield some finite temperature corrections to the existing result for η/s ratio in EGB gravity. In other words, the ratio should get modified due to the fact that the shear modes corresponding to the (x, y) plane are no more helicity two excitations rather they transform like helicity one or vector modes of the unbroken $SO(2)$ symmetry group which interact with other helicity one modes of the theory namely the gauge bosons in the symmetry broken phase.

The second motivation of our analysis comes from the fact that in EGB theory of gravity

[1]There exists a huge literature on this topic. For more interesting aspects of bounds of η/s ratio interested readers are referred further to [27–33].

the GB coupling (λ) is actually constrained by the fact that the theory has to satisfy the causality namely the velocity of the graviton wave packet cannot exceed the velocity of photon [19][2]. This eventually motivates us to explore the bound on λ corresponding to the unbroken as well as the symmetry broken phase in the presence of Yang-Mills coupling. Finally, it should be noted that throughout the analysis we treat the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) coupling (λ) non perturbatively while on the other hand we treat the $SU(2)$ gauge sector perturbatively.

The organization of the paper is the following: In Section 2 we discuss the basic holographic set up where we consider the Einstein Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) gravity coupled to Yang-Mills field in the presence of back reaction. In Section 3 we compute the η/s ratio corresponding to the symmetry broken phase. In Section 4 we explore the causality constraint on GB coupling (λ) corresponding to the symmetry broken as well as symmetry unbroken phase. Finally we conclude in Section 5.

2 The holographic set up

Before we actually start our analysis, we would first like to note down all the crucial assumptions as well as the approximations that have been taken care of in the subsequent computations. The purpose of the present section is to provide a detail discussion on the holographic set up as well as the solutions of the field equations in the bulk. This might be regarded as the first step towards constructing the anisotropic superfluid phase at the boundary.

In our analysis we consider the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) coupling (λ) to be non perturbative whereas on the other hand, we treat the Yang-Mills sector to be perturbative near the critical point ($T \sim T_c$). This construction has two notable features - Firstly, it provides us with the exact framework to compare the η/s ratio corresponding to the anisotropic/symmetry broken phase to that with the earlier results known for the symmetry unbroken phase [11]. Secondly with this assumption one can easily construct solutions to the field equations near the UV as well as the IR sector of the theory. This is in fact sufficient for the present analysis since the only entity that we finally want to compute is the retarded Green's function for the boundary theory.

We start our analysis by considering Einstein Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) gravity coupled to $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field in an asymptotically AdS_5 space time. The corresponding action reads as,

$$S = \int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\ \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2}\left[R + \frac{12}{L^2} + \frac{\lambda L^2}{2}\Big(R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - 4R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta} + R^2\Big)\right] - \frac{1}{4g^2}F^a_{\alpha\beta}F^{a\alpha\beta}\right] \tag{2.1}$$

[2] For more aspects of causality constraints interested readers are referred to [34].

where the field strength tensor could be formally expressed as,

$$F^a_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A^a_\beta - \partial_\beta A^a_\alpha - \epsilon^{abc} A^b_\alpha A^c_\beta \,. \tag{2.2}$$

The corresponding Einstein and Yang-Mills equations of motion turn out to be,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta} + 4g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\lambda}{6}\Big[g_{\alpha\beta}\Big(R_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu}R^{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2\Big) + H_{\alpha\beta}\Big] - \kappa_5^2\Big(T_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3}T g_{\alpha\beta}\Big) &= 0 \\ \nabla_\beta F^{a\beta}_\alpha - \epsilon^{abc} A^b_\beta F^\beta_\alpha &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

respectively[3]. The function $H_{\alpha\beta}$ as well as the energy momentum tensor ($T_{\alpha\beta}$) take the following form namely,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha\beta} &= 2R^\delta_\alpha R_{\beta\delta} - 2R^{\delta\sigma}R_{\delta\alpha\beta\sigma} - RR_{\alpha\beta} - R_{\alpha\sigma\delta\mu}R_\beta{}^{\sigma\delta\mu} \\ T_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{g^2}\Big(F^a_{\alpha\gamma}F^{a\gamma}_\beta - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu a}F^{\mu\nu a}g_{\alpha\beta}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The metric ansatz as well as the ansatz for the $SU(2)$ gauge field for our analysis could be formally expressed as,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -N(r)\sigma(r)^2 N_\#^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{N(r)}dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{L^2}f(r)^{-4}dx^2 + \frac{r^2}{L^2}f(r)^2(dy^2+dz^2) \\ A &= \phi(r)\tau^3 dt^2 + \omega(r)\tau^1 dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\omega(r)$ corresponds to the p- wave order parameter that spontaneously breaks the $SO(3)$ symmetry of the boundary theory below $T < T_c$. Here $N_\#^2$ is a an arbitrary constant. In our analysis we set

$$N_\#^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-4\lambda}) \tag{2.6}$$

so that the velocity of light at the boundary of the AdS_5 becomes unity [19]. Also we could define the ratio of the AdS length scale to that with the cosmological length scale as[4],

$$f_\infty = \Big(\frac{L_{AdS}}{L}\Big)^2 \tag{2.7}$$

which satisfies the following equation of motion,

$$1 - f_\infty + f_\infty^2\lambda = 0\,. \tag{2.8}$$

[3]We will set L=1 for convenience.

[4] Here we closely follow the notation of [35]. For a detailed discussion on f_∞ interested readers are referred to [35], in particular the equations 2.7 and 2.8 of the first of the references provided in [35].

Eq.(2.8) will in general have two roots of which we take the one which smoothly connects with the Einstein case ($\lambda = 0$) when f_∞ goes to one.

Our next goal would be to solve (2.3) in the large r limit. In order to do that we first consider the following perturbative expansion of the gauge field namely,

$$A^a_\mu = A^{a(0)}_\mu + \varepsilon A^{a(1)}_\mu + \varepsilon^2 A^{a(2)}_\mu + O(\varepsilon^3) \tag{2.9}$$

where $\varepsilon(= (1 - T/T_c))$ is a small *positive* dimensionless parameter such that $\mid \varepsilon \mid << 1$. The expansion (2.9) in fact reflects the fact that we are essentially considering our system (superfluid) at a temperature T which is very close to the critical temperature ($T \sim T_c$). In the next step we shall further expand each of the terms on the r.h.s of (2.9) as a perturbation in the parameter $\alpha^2(= \frac{\kappa_5^2}{4g^2})$ namely,

$$A^{a(i)}_\mu = \mathcal{A}^{a(i)(\alpha^{(0)})}_\mu + \alpha^2 \mathcal{A}^{a(i)(\alpha^{(2)})}_\mu + O(\alpha^4). \tag{2.10}$$

Using the above expansions (2.9) and (2.10) we finally solve Eq.(2.3) separately near the UV as well as the IR sector of the theory as it is in fact quite difficult to solve Eq.(2.3) for any generic value of the radial coordinate (r). The solutions corresponding to the large values of the radial coordinate (r) turn out to be,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(r) &= 1 - \varepsilon^2\alpha^2\frac{2}{9r^6} \quad f(r) = 1 + \varepsilon^2\alpha^2\frac{1}{9r^6} \\
N(r) &= f_\infty\, r^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4\,\lambda}\,r^2} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{r^6}\Big) \\
&\quad + \frac{32\alpha^2}{3}\Big(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4\,\lambda}\,N_\#^2\,r^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4\,\lambda}\,N_\#^2 r^4} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{r^6}\Big)\Big) \\
&\quad - \varepsilon^2\alpha^2\Big(\frac{281}{1260r^2} + \frac{f_\infty\left(840N_\#^2 + 281\right)}{1260N_\#^2\,(f_\infty - 2)} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{r^6}\Big)\Big) \\
\omega(r) &= \varepsilon\Big(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{2\,(N_\#^2 - \lambda)}{N_\#^2\;r^4} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{r^6}\Big)\Big) + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
\phi(r) &= 4\Big(1 - \frac{1}{r^2}\Big) + \varepsilon^2\left(\frac{71}{6720} - \frac{281}{6720\;r^2} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{r^6}\Big)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

A number of comments are to made at this stage. Firstly, for the computation of η/s we only need the metric upto $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{r^4})$. For that it is sufficient to expand $f(r)$ upto $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{r^6})$ as in the metric components it comes with a r^2 factor multiplying it. We have expanded $N(r)$ upto $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{r^4})$. Now as the leading term of $N(r)$ goes as r^2 we have to expand $\sigma(r)$ upto $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{r^6})$ as in the metric they come in the form of $N(r)\sigma(r)^2$. Secondly we have set the radius of the

horizon equal to unity namely, $r_h = 1$ and lastly the solutions mentioned above in (2.11) are exact in the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) coupling (λ).

Next we perform the near horizon expansion in order to obtain solutions corresponding to the IR sector of the theory. The solutions thus obtained are found to be valid upto $\mathcal{O}(r-1)$. In the following we quote the near horizon solutions that turn out to be[5],

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(r) &= 1+\varepsilon^2\alpha^2\Big(-\frac{1}{36}+\frac{r-1}{12}\Big);\quad f(r) = 1+\varepsilon^2\alpha^2\Big(\frac{1}{288}\Big);\quad \omega(r) = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\\
N(r) &= 4(r-1)-\frac{64\alpha^2}{3\,N_{\#}^2}(r-1)+\varepsilon^2\alpha^2\frac{52(208+315N_{\#}^2)}{54915\,N_{\#}^2(3-16\,\lambda)}(r-1)\\
\phi(r) &= 8(r-1)-\frac{13\,\varepsilon^2}{420}(r-1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The temperature of the black brane is defined as usual by defining the surface gravity. The temperature is defined as usual by the formula shown below.

$$T = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{(-\frac{1}{4}g^{tt}g^{rr}(\partial_r g_{tt})^2)}\,\Big|_{r=r_h=1} \tag{2.13}$$

Using (2.12) in (2.13) and expanding upto $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2\alpha^2)$ we get the black brane temperature as,

$$T = \frac{N_{\#}}{\pi}\Big(1-\frac{16\,\alpha^2}{3N_{\#}^2}-\frac{4\varepsilon^2\alpha^2}{9(3-16\lambda)}\Big(\frac{165}{8368}-\frac{2028}{18305N_{\#}^2}-\lambda\Big)\Big). \tag{2.14}$$

The critical temperature at which the system undergoes a second order phase transition turns out to be[6],

$$T_c = \frac{N_{\#}}{\pi}\Big(1-\frac{16\,\alpha^2}{3N_{\#}^2}\Big). \tag{2.15}$$

Note that in order to obtain the critical temperature (2.15) what one essentially needs to do is to turn off the order parameter ($\omega = 0$) which essentially instructs us to set $\varepsilon = 0$ in (2.14) (see 2.11). The reason for this is the fact that the critical temperature marks the phase transition point where we have no symmetry breaking parameter as we have an exact scale symmetry there. So turning off the symmetry breaking parameter i.e the ε in (2.14) we identify the the critical temperature T_c. Also notice that T_c explicitly contains the information about the gauge coupling constant inside the parameter $\alpha^2(=\frac{\kappa_5^2}{4g^2})$ which has been defined as the ratio

[5]We require the near horizon data in order to compute the temperature (T) of the black brane correctly. Near horizon solutions also play an important role to understand the near horizon behaviour of the graviton as well as the gauge fluctuations to compute the shear viscosity to entropy ratio.

[6]At this point one should note that for $f_\infty = 1$ and $N_{\#} = 1$, the expression for T and T_c match exactly with the corresponding expressions of the two derivative Einstein gravity [11].

of the Newton's constant to that of the Yang-Mills couplings.

Finally, the entropy of the black brane could be formally expressed as [36],

$$S = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa_5^2} V_3 \tag{2.16}$$

where $V_3 (= \int dxdydz)$ is the world volume of the black brane. With the above machinery in hand, we are finally in a position to compute the shear viscosity to entropy (η/s) ratio corresponding to both the symmetry broken as well as the symmetry unbroken phase in presence of higher derivative corrections. This is basically the goal of our next section.

3 η/s for anisotropic superfluid

In this section, using the Kubo's formula, we compute the shear viscosity to entropy ratio for anisotropic superfluids in Einstein Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) gravity. In order to do that we turn on fluctuations of the metric as well as the gauge fields namely $h_{\mu\nu}$ and δA^a_μ. The gravity fluctuations like h_{yz} and $h_{yy} - h_{zz}$ transform as the tensor modes of unbroken $SO(2)$ symmetry group. On the other hand the fluctuations like $h_{xy}\,, \delta A^1_y$ and δA^2_y transform as the vector modes of $SO(2)$. This basically suggests the fact that the graviton fluctuations along the (x, y) plane do not transform as pure helicity two states of $SO(2)$ rather they are coupled with the gauge fluctuations which eventually results in some finite temperature corrections to shear viscosity bound.

3.1 Calculation of η_{yz}/s

Let us first consider the graviton fluctuations along (y, z) plane namely h_{yz}. We start with the linearized equation of motion and set,

$$h_{yz}(r) \equiv r^2 f^2(r) \Phi(r, t). \tag{3.1}$$

Using Fourier transformation we can write down the field $\Phi(r, t)$ as,

$$\Phi(r, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\nu t} \Phi_\nu(r) d\nu. \tag{3.2}$$

Substituting (3.2) in to (2.3) one finally arrives at some second order differential equation

of $\Phi_\nu(r)$ which could be read off as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 =& \Big(\frac{\lambda\, N'(r)}{r} - 1\Big)\Phi''_\nu(r) + \Big(\frac{\lambda\, N''(r)}{r} + \frac{2\lambda\, N'(r)}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda\, N'(r)^2}{rN(r)} - \frac{N'(r)}{N(r)} - \frac{3}{r}\Big)\Phi'_\nu(r) \\
&+ \Big(\frac{4\lambda\, N'(r)}{r^3} + \frac{8}{N(r)} - \frac{2N'(r)}{rN(r)} - \frac{2\alpha^2\phi'(r)^2}{3N_\#^2 N(r)\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{4}{r^2}\Big)\Phi_\nu(r) \\
&+ \nu^2\Big(\frac{\lambda\, N'(r)}{rN_\#^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{1}{N_\#^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\Big)\Phi_\nu(r)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.3}
$$

where the prime denotes derivative in the radial coordinate (r).

In order to solve the full equation of motion corresponding to the graviton perturbation h_{yz}, we consider an ansatz for $\Phi_\nu(r)$ that basically reflects the incoming wave boundary condition for graviton modes namely,

$$
\Phi_\nu(r) = \left(\frac{N(r)}{r^2}\right)^{-i\frac{\nu\tilde{T}}{4}} F(r) \tag{3.4}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{T} = \frac{1}{N_\#}\Big(1 + \frac{16\,\alpha^2}{3N_\#^2} + \frac{4\varepsilon^2\alpha^2}{9(3-16\lambda)}\Big(\frac{165}{8368} - \frac{2028}{18305N_\#^2} - \lambda\Big)\Big). \tag{3.5}
$$

We then proceed to calculate the retarded Green's function using the recipe of [37]. In order to do that, as a first step we substitute (3.4) in to (3.3) which yields,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 =& \Big(\lambda N'(r) - r\Big)F''(r) + \Big(1 - \frac{rN'(r)}{N(r)} - \frac{2\,\lambda N'(r)}{r} + \frac{\lambda\, N'(r)^2}{N(r)} + \lambda N''(r)\Big)F'(r) \\
&+ \frac{i\nu\tilde{T}}{2}\Big(\frac{rN'(r)}{N(r)} - 2 + \frac{2\,\lambda N'(r)}{r} - \frac{\lambda\, N'(r)^2}{N(r)}\Big)F'(r) + \Big(\frac{8r}{N(r)} - \frac{4}{r} + \frac{6\,\lambda\, N'(r)}{r^2} - \\
&\frac{2\lambda N'(r)^2}{rN(r)} - \frac{2\,\lambda\, N''(r)}{r}\Big)F(r) - \frac{128\,\alpha^2 N(r) + 3r^5\nu^2(r - \lambda N'(r))}{3r^5 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2 N_\#^2}F(r) \\
&+ \frac{i\nu\tilde{T}}{4}\Big(\frac{4}{r} - \frac{3N'(r)}{N(r)} + \frac{rN''(r)}{N(r)} - \frac{6\,\lambda\, N'(r)}{r^2} + \frac{4\,\lambda\, N'(r)^2}{rN(r)} + \frac{2\,\lambda\, N''(r)}{r} - \\
&\frac{2\,\lambda\, N'(r)N''(r)}{N(r)}\Big)F(r) - \frac{\nu^2\tilde{T}^2}{16}\Big(\frac{4N'(r)}{N(r)} - \frac{4}{r} - \frac{rN'(r)^2}{rN(r)} + \frac{4\lambda N'(r)}{r^2} - \\
&\frac{4\,\lambda\, N'(r)^2}{rN(r)} + \frac{\lambda\, N'(r)^3}{N(r)^2}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

In order to solve the above equation (3.6), as a first step we expand $F(r)$ perturbatively in ν as,

$$
F(r) = F_0(r) + \frac{i\nu}{4}F_1(r) + \mathcal{O}(\nu^2). \tag{3.7}
$$

In the next step we expand each of the $F_0(r)$ as well as $F_1(r)$ around the boundary and we get,

$$F(r) = \Phi_0 + \frac{\Phi_2}{r^4} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{r^6}\Big) + \frac{i\nu(1-4\,\lambda)}{4N_{\#}}\Big(\Phi_0 + \frac{\Phi_2}{r^4} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{r^6}\Big)\Big) \tag{3.8}$$

where $\Phi_i (i = 0, 2\cdots)$s are some constant factors that appear in the expansion of the radial function $F(r)$ near the boundary of the AdS_5. For the present purpose of our analysis it is sufficient to consider terms upto $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{r^4})$.

In order to compute the shear viscosity from the retarded Green's function we only need to register the leading fall off of $F(r)$ corresponding to the radial coordinate (r) at the leading order in ν which finally yields,

$$F(r) = \Phi_0 + \frac{\Phi_2}{r^4} + \frac{i\nu(1-4\,\lambda)}{4N_{\#}}\Big(\Phi_0 + \frac{\Phi_2}{r^4}\Big). \tag{3.9}$$

In order to compute the retarded Green's function $(G^R(\nu, \vec{k} = 0))$ we only need to evaluate the following quantity namely [37],

$$\mathcal{F} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \sqrt{g} g^{rr} \frac{F(r)}{\Phi_0 \Phi_2 f_\infty} \partial_r F(r) = -4N_{\#} - 2i\,\nu\,(1-4\,\lambda). \tag{3.10}$$

Using (3.10) we finally obtain the retarded Green's function as,

$$G^R_{yz\,,\,yz}(\nu, \vec{k} = 0) = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2}\mathcal{F} = -\frac{2N_{\#}}{\kappa_5^2} - \frac{i\nu(1-4\,\lambda)}{\kappa_5^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Finally, the shear viscosity η_{yz} corresponding to the y z plane turns out to be,

$$\eta_{yz} = -\lim_{\nu\to 0}\frac{1}{2\nu}\,\mathrm{Im}(G^R_{yz\,,\,yz}) = \frac{1-4\,\lambda}{2\kappa_5^2}. \tag{3.12}$$

Using (2.16), the shear viscosity to entropy ratio corresponding to the graviton fluctuations along (y, z) plane turns out to be,

$$\frac{\eta_{yz}}{s} = \frac{(1-4\,\lambda)}{4\pi}. \tag{3.13}$$

This is the famous η/s result for the EGB gravity known for quite a long time [19] and is quite expected from the physical arguments that we had mentioned earlier in Section 1. This result is due to the fact that the graviton modes along the (y, z) direction are essentially decoupled from the gauge degrees of freedom and therefore gives rise to the universal result as known for the EGB gravity. In the next section we are going to compute the η_{xy}/s ratio where due to the presence of the interaction between the graviton and the gauge degrees of

freedom we expect some finite temperature corrections to the above universal result in EGB gravity.

3.2 Calculation of η_{xy}/s

In order to compute the η_{xy}/s ratio we turn on graviton fluctuations $h_{xy}(= r^2 f^2(r)\Psi(r,t))$ as well as the gauge fluctuations namely $\delta A^1_y(r,t)$ and $\delta A^2_y(r,t)$. Upper indices on δA correspond to SU(2) indices and the lower indices correspond to space time index. Following the same procedure as before we Fourier transform all the variables as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(r,t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\nu t}\Psi(r)d\nu \\
\delta A^1_y(r,t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\nu t}\delta A^1_y(r)d\nu \\
\delta A^2_y(r,t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\nu t}\delta A^2_y(r)d\nu.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Substituting (3.14) in to (2.3) we arrive at the following set of equations namely[7],

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =& X(r)\Psi''(r) + Y(r)\Psi'(r) + \Big(Z(r) + \nu^2 W(r)\Big)\Psi(r) \\
&+ 4\alpha^2\omega'(r)\delta A^{1\prime}_y(r) - \frac{4\alpha^2\omega(r)\phi(r)^2\delta A^1_y(r)}{N^2_{\#}N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{4i\alpha^2\nu\omega(r)\phi(r)\delta A^2_y(r)}{N^2_{\#}N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} \\
0 =& \delta A^{1\prime\prime}_y(r) + \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{2f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{N'(r)}{N(r)} + \frac{\sigma'(r)}{\sigma(r)}\right)\delta A^{1\prime}_y(r) + \left(\frac{\nu^2 - \phi^2(r)}{N^2_{\#}N(r)^2\sigma^2(r)}\right)\delta A^1_y(r) \\
&- \tilde{W}(r)\Psi(r) \\
0 =& \delta A^{2\prime\prime}_y(r) + \left(\frac{2f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{N'(r)}{N(r)} + \frac{\sigma'(r)}{\sigma(r)} + \frac{5}{r}\right)\delta A^{2\prime}_y(r) + \left(\frac{\nu^2 - \phi(r)^2}{N^2_{\#}N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\right)\delta A^2_y(r) \\
&+ \frac{f(r)^4\omega(r)^2}{r^2N(r)}\delta A^2_y(r) - \frac{i\nu f(r)^4\omega(r)\phi(r)}{2N^2_{\#}r^2N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\Psi(r).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Considering the in-going boundary condition we take solutions of the following form namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(r) &= \left(\tfrac{N(r)}{r^2}\right)^{-i\frac{\nu\tilde{T}}{4}} F(r) \\
\delta A^1_y(r) &= \left(\tfrac{N(r)}{r^2}\right)^{-i\frac{\nu\tilde{T}}{4}} H(r) \\
\delta A^2_y(r) &= \left(\tfrac{N(r)}{r^2}\right)^{-i\frac{\nu\tilde{T}}{4}} J(r)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

[7]See Appendix A for details.

where $\tilde{T}$ is given in (3.5).
In the next step we substitute (3.16) in to (3.15) which yields [8],

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 =& -\tilde{U}_1(r)F''(r) + \Big(\tilde{X}(r) + \frac{i\nu\,\tilde{T}\,\tilde{Y}(r)}{4}\Big)F'(r) + \Big(\tilde{U}(r) + \frac{i\,\nu\,\tilde{T}}{4}\tilde{Z}(r) - \frac{\nu^2\,\tilde{T}^2}{16}\tilde{V}(r)\Big)F(r) \\
&- \frac{\nu^2}{N_\#^2}\tilde{X}_1(r)F(r) + \alpha^2\Big(\frac{f(r)^4\omega'(r)^2}{6r^2} - \frac{\phi'(r)^2}{6\,N_\#^2 N(r)\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{f(r)^4\phi(r)^2\omega(r)^2}{6\,N_\#^2 r^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\Big)F(r) \\
&+ \frac{i\,\tilde{T}\,\nu\,\phi(r)\,\omega(r)}{N_\#^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\delta A_y^2(r) + \frac{\alpha^2\,\phi(r)^2\,\omega(r)}{N_\#^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\delta A_y^1(r)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.17}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 =& \,\delta A_y^{1''}(r) + \Big(\frac{N'(r)}{N(r)} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\sigma'(r)}{\sigma(r)} - \frac{2f'(r)}{f(r)}\Big)\delta A_y^{1'}(r) - \frac{\phi(r)^2}{N_\#^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\delta A_y^1(r) \\
&+ \frac{\nu^2}{N_\#^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\delta A_y^1(r) + \frac{i\,\nu\,\tilde{T}}{4}\Big(\frac{4}{r} - \frac{2N'(r)}{N(r)}\Big)\delta A_y^{1'}(r) - \frac{\nu^2\tilde{T}^2}{16}\Big(\frac{N'(r)^2}{N(r)^2} - \frac{4N'(r)}{rN(r)} + \frac{4}{r^2}\Big)\delta A_y^1(r) \\
&+ \tilde{Y}_1(r)F(r) - \frac{f(r)^4\omega'(r)}{2r^2}F'(r) + \frac{i\,\nu\,\tilde{T}}{4}\tilde{Z}_1(r)\delta A_y^1(r) + \frac{i\,\nu\,\tilde{T}}{4}\frac{f(r)^4N'(r)\omega'(r)}{2\,r^2\,N(r)}F(r)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.18}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 =& \,\delta A_y^{2''}(r) + \frac{i\,\nu\,\tilde{T}}{4}\Big(\frac{4}{r} - \frac{2N'(r)}{N(r)}\Big)\delta A_y^{2'}(r) + \frac{i\,\nu\,\tilde{T}}{4}\tilde{W}_1(r)\delta A_y^2(r) \\
&- \frac{\nu^2\,\tilde{T}^2}{16}\Big(\frac{4}{r^2} - \frac{4\,N'(r)}{r\,N(r)} + \frac{N'(r)^2}{N(r)^2}\Big)\delta A_y^2(r) + \frac{\nu^2}{N_\#^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\delta A_y^2(r) - \frac{i\,\nu\,f(r)^4\phi(r)\omega(r)}{2\,N_\#^2\,r^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}F(r) \\
&+ \Big(\frac{2\,f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{N'(r)}{N(r)} + \frac{\sigma'(r)}{\sigma(r)} + \frac{5}{r}\Big)\delta A_y^{2'}(r) + \Big(\frac{f(r)^4\omega(r)^2}{r^2\,N(r)} - \frac{\phi(r)^2}{N_\#^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\Big)\delta A_y^2(r).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.19}
$$

Following the same procedure to find the retarded Green's function we expand $F(r), H(r)$ and $J(r)$ upto linear order in the parameter ν namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(r) &= F_0(r) + \frac{i\nu}{4}F_1(r) + \mathcal{O}(\nu^2) \\
H(r) &= H_0(r) + \frac{i\nu}{4}H_1(r) + \mathcal{O}(\nu^2) \\
J(r) &= J_0(r) + \frac{i\nu}{4}J_1(r) + \mathcal{O}(\nu^2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.20}
$$

Using the above expansion (3.20) we finally calculate the shear viscosity (η_{xy}) associated with the (x, y) plane. In order to do that we first substitute the expansion (3.20) in to (3.17) and note the overall fall off of the radial function $F(r)$ at large values of the radial coordinate (r). As we have seen earlier in Section (3.1), in order to compute the shear viscosity (η_{xy})

[8] For details see Appendix B.

from the retarded Green's function we only need to register the fall off $\mathcal{O}(1/r^4)$ in the radial coordinate (r) at the leading order in ν which finally yields,

$$F(r) = \Psi_0 + \frac{\Psi_2}{r^4} + \frac{i\nu\,(1-4\,\lambda)}{4N_{\#}}\Big(1+\varepsilon^2\alpha^2\frac{29}{896}\Big)\Big(\Psi_0+\frac{\Psi_2}{r^4}\Big) \tag{3.21}$$

where Ψ_is are some constants as we have seen before.

At this stage it is indeed quite interesting to note that in the $\lambda = 0$ limit, the above expression (3.21) reduces correctly to its corresponding Einstein counterpart as given in [11]. Finally, using (3.10) we arrive at the expression for the shear viscosity to entropy ratio in the (x, y) plane corresponding to the symmetry broken phase namely,

$$\frac{\eta_{xy}}{s} = \frac{1-4\,\lambda}{4\pi}\Big(1+\varepsilon^2\,\alpha^2\frac{29}{896}\Big). \tag{3.22}$$

Before we proceed further a few comments are in order. First of all, the presence of the $\varepsilon^2\alpha^2$ term in the above expression (3.22) essentially corresponds to the fact that we are explicitly sitting in the symmetry broken phase of the system. One can easily identify this particular piece in the theory appearing due to some finite temperature corrections below certain critical temperature (T_c). As a matter of fact it is in fact quite evident from (2.14) and (2.15) that this $\varepsilon^2\alpha^2$ term is proportional to $(T - T_c)$ with certain proportionality factor $K(\lambda)$. Therefore we multiply both side of (3.22) by $\frac{4\pi}{1-4\,\lambda}$ in order to extract out the $\varepsilon^2\alpha^2$ factor which finally yields,

$$\frac{4\pi}{1-4\,\lambda}\frac{\eta_{xy}}{s} = 1 + K(\lambda)\;T_c\left(1-\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{\beta} \tag{3.23}$$

where $\beta = 1$ and,

$$K(\lambda) = -\frac{682515\,\pi\,N_{\#}(3-16\,\lambda)}{32(32448-35N_{\#}^2(165-8368\,\lambda))}. \tag{3.24}$$

Note that β has to be equal to one as long as we stick to the order $\varepsilon^2\alpha^2$ in our calculations.

At this stage it is noteworthy to mention that in the $\lambda = 0$ limit Eq.(3.23) reduces to its corresponding Einstein counterpart as given in [11]. Speaking more specifically, Eq.(3.23) is the generalization of the earlier results [11] in the presence of higher derivative corrections. The point that we want to stress at this stage is the following: Like in the pure Einstein case, we note that the η/s ratio in particular in the EGB gravity is also not universal rather it explicitly contains the finite temperature corrections below certain critical temperature $(T < T_c)$. This is the first non trivial observation regarding the non universality of the η/s ratio in higher derivative theories of gravity to the best of our knowledge.

4 Causality constraint [9]

In this section we will try to explore the causality constraints on the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) coupling both in the broken as well as the unbroken phase in presence of $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills matter. To start with we investigate the unbroken phase where the boundary $SO(3)$ symmetry is preserved. In order to do that we turn on perturbations along (y, z) plane and from the linearized equation of motion we calculate the group velocity of the graviton wave packets near the boundary of the AdS_5 in the large momentum limit. Demanding that the group velocity of graviton wave packet must be less than the speed of light we get the constraint on Gauss-Bonnet (GB) coupling (λ) following [19]. We repeat the same analysis for the symmetry broken phase where besides the graviton fluctuations gauge field perturbations are also turned on.

4.1 Unbroken phase

In this case we have only A^3_t component of the gauge field turned on. In order to calculate the bound on λ, we turn on graviton fluctuations along (y, z) plane and retain terms upto α^2 order. We take the graviton fluctuations of the following form namely,

$$h_{yz}(r, t, x) = e^{-i\nu t + ik_1 x + ik_r r}. \tag{4.1}$$

For the metric we take the following ansatz namely,

$$\begin{aligned} N(r) &= \Big[\frac{r^2}{2\lambda}\Big(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\,\lambda\left(1 - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)}\Big) - \alpha^2\Big(\frac{32\left(r^2-1\right)}{3r^2 N_{\#}^2\sqrt{4\lambda + (1-4\lambda)r^4}}\Big)\Big]\,, \\ \omega(r) &= 0\,, \quad \sigma(r) = 1\,, \quad f(r) = 1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Substituting (4.1) in to (2.3) we obtain the linearized graviton equation of motion in large momentum (k^μ) limit as,

$$-\frac{1}{N_{\#}^2 N(r)}\nu^2 + N(r)k_r^2 + \frac{(1 - \lambda\, N''(r))}{r\,(r - \lambda\, N'(r))}k_3^2 = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Eq.(4.3) could be expressed as,

$$g^{\mu\nu}k_\mu k_\nu = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

[9] We thank Aninda Sinha for suggesting this.

with the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ of the following form namely,

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = N(r)N_{\#}^{2}\Big(-dt^{2}+\frac{1}{c_{g}^{2}}dx^{2}\Big)+\frac{1}{N(r)}dr^{2} \tag{4.5}$$

where,

$$c_{g}^{2} = \frac{N_{\#}^{2}N(r)}{r^{2}}\frac{1-\lambda\,N''(r)}{1-\frac{\lambda\,N'(r)}{r}} \tag{4.6}$$

is the group velocity of the graviton wave packet.

To avoid any acausal propagation at the boundary we should have $c_{g}^{2} \leq 1$ which finally leads to the bound on the GB coupling (λ) namely,

$$\lambda \leq \frac{9}{100}\,. \tag{4.7}$$

This matches with the earlier results of [19, 34] in the absence of any matter couplings. In this case $\omega = 0$ i.e only the temporal component of the gauge is field is turned one. So effectively we have a $U(1)$ gauge field coupled with gravity. Our analysis shows that in this case also we get the same bound as what one gets in absence of any matter fields. This translates into the following well known statement of η_{yz}/s namely,

$$\frac{\eta_{yz}}{s} \geq \frac{4}{25\pi}\,. \tag{4.8}$$

4.2 Broken phase

In order to study the bound on GB coupling (λ) corresponding to the symmetry broken phase we turn on graviton fluctuations ($h_{xy}(r,t,z)$) as well as the gauge fluctuations namely $\delta A_{y}^{1}(r,t,z)$ and $\delta A_{y}^{2}(r,t,z)$. Under these circumstances the graviton fluctuations will mix with the gauge field perturbations and as a result we have to consider the full back reacted metric as mentioned in (2.12). We take the graviton fluctuations of the following form namely,

$$h_{xy}(r,t,z) = e^{-i\nu t+ik_{3}z+ik_{r}r}. \tag{4.9}$$

Also we take the gauge field perturbations of the form,

$$\delta A_{y}^{2}(r,t,z) = \delta A_{y}^{1}(r,t,z) = e^{-i\tilde{\nu}t+i\tilde{k}_{3}z+i\tilde{k}_{r}r}. \tag{4.10}$$

Using the profile mentioned in (4.9), the linearized graviton equation of motion in large

momentum (k^μ) limit turns out to be,

$$-\frac{1}{N(r)N_\#^2\sigma(r)^2}\nu^2+g^{rr}k_r^2+g^{xx}k_3^2=0\,. \tag{4.11}$$

This could be further rewritten as,

$$g^{\mu\nu}k_\mu k_\nu=0 \tag{4.12}$$

where the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ could be expressed as,

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu=N(r)N_\#^2\sigma(r)^2\Big(-dt^2+\frac{1}{c_g^2}dx^2\Big)+g_{rr}dr^2 \tag{4.13}$$

with,

$$\begin{aligned}g_{rr}&=\frac{\sigma(r)\left(\lambda\,\left(rf'(r)N'(r)+2N(r)\left(rf''(r)+2f'(r)\right)\right)+f(r)\left(\lambda\,N'(r)-r\right)\right)}{N(r)\left(\lambda\,rf'(r)\left(\sigma(r)N'(r)+2N(r)\sigma'(r)\right)+f(r)\left(\sigma(r)\left(\lambda\,N'(r)-r\right)+2\lambda\,N(r)\sigma'(r)\right)\right)}\\ c_g^2&=\frac{N_\#^2N(r)\sigma(r)\left(-\lambda\,\sigma(r)N''(r)-3\lambda N'(r)\sigma'(r)-2\lambda\,N(r)\sigma''(r)+\sigma(r)\right)}{rf(r)\left(-2\lambda\,rN(r)f''(r)-\lambda\,f'(r)\left(rN'(r)+4N(r)\right)+f(r)\left(r-\lambda\,N'(r)\right)\right)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Finally expanding c_g^2 around the boundary $r\to\infty$ and demanding the fact that the leading term has to be less than or equal to zero we note that the GB coupling (λ) has the following upper bound even in the symmetry broken phase namely,

$$\lambda\leq\frac{9}{100}. \tag{4.15}$$

This result is indeed quite surprising. Although in the symmetry broken phase we have the full $SU(2)$ sector of the gauge field turned on, still it is quite surprising to note that one gets the same upper bound on the GB coupling (λ) as we found earlier corresponding to the symmetry unbroken phase. Note that the above result is valid only in certain special circumstances in the sense that on one hand we have treated the GB coupling (λ) non perturbatively and on the other hand we have treated the $SU(2)$ gauge sector perturbatively near the critical point ($T\sim T_c$). This shows that at least in this perturbative framework the bound on λ doesn't change even in the presence of a non-abelian gauge coupling. Mathematically it can be justified as follows, if one looks at the linearized Gauss-Bonnet equation of motion (3.15) carefully, even though there is in general a mixing between the graviton and gauge fluctuations but in the high frequency limit they seem to get decoupled. It is evident from the linearized Gauss-Bonnet equation (3.15) that $h_{xy}(r,t,z)$ comes with double derivative with respect to r, t and z but the gauge fluctuations $\delta A_y^1(r,t,z)$ and $\delta A_y^2(r,t,z)$ come with no derivatives. So

naturally in the high frequency limit terms with double derivatives dominate over those terms with lesser number of derivatives. As a consequence of this the graviton fluctuations decouple from the gauge fluctuations. Hence we get the same bound although there are gauge fields present. At this stage it is worthwhile to mention that one might get some nontrivial result in the case when both the gauge and gravitational sectors are treated non perturbatively. We leave this issue as a part of future investigations.

The key observation from the above analysis is that the proportionality factor $K(\lambda)$ (in equation (3.24)) corresponding to the above (upper) bound (4.15) of GB coupling (λ) turns out to be,

$$K(\lambda) = -\sqrt{\frac{41}{2}}\frac{682515\,\pi}{5059184} \approx -1.91893 \quad . \tag{4.16}$$

As a result the corresponding lower bound for η_{xy}/s changes to,

$$\frac{\eta_{xy}}{s} \geq \frac{4}{25\,\pi} - 0.09773\ T_c\left(1-\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{\beta} \tag{4.17}$$

with $\beta = 1$.

5 Summary and final remarks

Before we conclude this article, it is now a good time to summarize all the crucial results/findings of the present analysis. One of the major outcomes of the present analysis is the finding of the non universal shear viscosity to entropy (η/s) ratio (corresponding to the super fluid phase) in higher derivative gravity. This deviation is caused due to the mutual interactions of the helicity two modes to that with the helicity one modes in the symmetry broken phase.

Another important outcome of our analysis is the fact that the upper bound of the Gauss-Bonnet coupling (λ) does not seem to get changed even in the super fluid phase as long as we are close to the critical point ($T \sim T_c$) of the phase diagram. However we note that along the symmetry broken direction the corresponding lower bound of η/s ratio gets modified due to the presence of finite temperature corrections. It would be an interesting exercise to explore by what amount this upper bound on λ is changed as we move away from the critical point or we treat the p- wave order parameter non perturbatively. Also it will be interesting and insightful to repeat this analysis for other anisotropic models, for example one can look at the models mentioned in [38].

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Aninda Sinha for valuable suggestions and remarks and for going through the manuscript in details. DR would like to acknowledge the financial support from CHEP, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.

A Details of linearized equations of motion for symmetry unbroken phase

In this appendix we give the detailed expressions for $X(r), Y(r), Z(r), W(r)$ and $\tilde{W}(r)$ as mentioned in equation (3.15).

$$\begin{aligned}
X(r) &= 1 - \frac{\lambda f'(r)N'(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{2\lambda\, N(r)f'(r)\sigma'(r)}{f(r)\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda N'(r)}{r} - \frac{2\lambda N(r)\sigma'(r)}{r\sigma(r)}\,,\\
W(r) &= \frac{1}{N_{\#}^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{2\lambda f''(r)}{N_{\#}^2 f(r)N(r)\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{\lambda f'(r)N'(r)}{N_{\#}^2 f(r)N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\\
&\quad - \frac{4\lambda f'(r)}{N_{\#}^2 r f(r)N(r)\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{\lambda N'(r)}{N_{\#}^2 r N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2}\,,\\
\tilde{W}(r) &= \frac{f(r)^3 f'(r)\omega'(r)}{r^2} + \frac{f(r)^4 N'(r)\omega'(r)}{2r^2 N(r)} - \frac{f(r)^4\omega(r)\phi(r)^2}{2N_{\#}^2 r^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{f(r)^4\omega'(r)}{2r^3}\\
&\quad + \frac{f(r)^4\sigma'(r)\omega'(r)}{2r^2\sigma(r)} + \frac{f(r)^4\omega''(r)}{2r^2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y(r) = & \frac{\sigma'(r)}{\sigma(r)} + \frac{2f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{N'(r)}{N(r)} - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\lambda f''(r)N'(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{2\lambda N(r)f''(r)\sigma'(r)}{f(r)\sigma(r)} \\
& - \frac{\lambda f'(r)N''(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{5\lambda f'(r)N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{f(r)\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda f'(r)^2N'(r)}{f(r)^2} - \frac{\lambda f'(r)N'(r)}{rf(r)} \\
& - \frac{\lambda f'(r)N'(r)^2}{f(r)N(r)} - \frac{2\lambda N(r)f'(r)\sigma''(r)}{f(r)\sigma(r)} - \frac{2\lambda N(r)f'(r)^2\sigma'(r)}{f(r)^2\sigma(r)} - \frac{2\lambda N(r)f'(r)\sigma'(r)}{rf(r)\sigma(r)} \\
& - \frac{\lambda N''(r)}{r} + \frac{2\lambda N'(r)}{r^2} - \frac{5\lambda N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{r\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda N'(r)^2}{rN(r)} + \frac{4\lambda N(r)\sigma'(r)}{r^2\sigma(r)} - \frac{2\lambda N(r)\sigma''(r)}{r\sigma(r)}\,, \\
Z(r) = & \frac{4}{r^2} - \frac{8}{N(r)} - \frac{4f'(r)}{rf(r)} - \frac{8f'(r)^2}{f(r)^2} + \frac{2\alpha^2 f(r)^4\omega(r)^2\phi(r)^2}{3N_\#^2 r^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{2\alpha^2 f(r)^4\omega'(r)^2}{3r^2} \\
& + \frac{2\alpha^2\phi'(r)^2}{3N_\#^2 N(r)\sigma(r)^2} + \frac{2\lambda f''(r)N'(r)}{rf(r)} + \frac{4\lambda N(r)f''(r)\sigma'(r)}{rf(r)\sigma(r)} + \frac{2\lambda f'(r)N''(r)}{rf(r)} \\
& + \frac{4\lambda f'(r)N'(r)}{r^2 f(r)} + \frac{10\lambda f'(r)N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{rf(r)\sigma(r)} + \frac{2\lambda f'(r)N'(r)^2}{rf(r)N(r)} + \frac{16\lambda f'(r)^3N'(r)}{f(r)^3} \\
& + \frac{22\lambda f'(r)^2N'(r)}{rf(r)^2} + \frac{8\lambda N(r)f'(r)\sigma'(r)}{r^2 f(r)\sigma(r)} + \frac{8\lambda N(r)f'(r)^2}{r^2 f(r)^2} + \frac{4\lambda N(r)f'(r)\sigma''(r)}{rf(r)\sigma(r)} \\
& + \frac{24\lambda N(r)f'(r)^3\sigma'(r)}{f(r)^3\sigma(r)} + \frac{32\lambda N(r)f'(r)^2\sigma'(r)}{rf(r)^2\sigma(r)} - \frac{8\lambda N(r)f'(r)^4}{f(r)^4} + \frac{8\lambda N(r)f'(r)^2f''(r)}{f(r)^3} \\
& + \frac{8\lambda N(r)f'(r)f''(r)}{rf(r)^2} + \frac{2\lambda N''(r)}{r^2} - \frac{6\lambda N'(r)}{r^3} + \frac{10\lambda N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{r^2\sigma(r)} + \frac{2\lambda N'(r)^2}{r^2N(r)} - \\
& \frac{8\lambda N(r)\sigma'(r)}{r^3\sigma(r)} + \frac{4\lambda N(r)\sigma''(r)}{r^2\sigma(r)}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.2}
$$

B Details of linearized equations of motion for symmetry broken phase

In this appendix we give the detailed expressions for $\tilde{X}(r)$, $\tilde{Y}(r)$, $\tilde{Z}(r)$, $\tilde{U}(r)$, $\tilde{V}(r)$, $\tilde{X}_1(r)$, $\tilde{Y}_1(r), \tilde{U}_1(r)$, $\tilde{Z}_1(r)$ and $\tilde{W}_1(r)$ as mentioned in equation (3.17).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Y}(r) = & -\frac{1}{r} + \frac{N'(r)}{N(r)} + \frac{\lambda\, N'(r)}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda\, f'(r)\, N'(r)}{r\, f(r)} - \frac{\lambda\, N'(r)^2}{2\, r\, N(r)} - \frac{\lambda\, f'(r)\, N'(r)^2}{2\, f(r)\, N(r)} + \frac{2\,\lambda\, N(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{r^2\,\sigma(r)} \\
& + \frac{2\,\lambda\, N(r)\, f'(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{r\, f(r)\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda\, N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{r\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda\, f'(r)\, N'(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{f(r)\,\sigma(r)}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{X}(r) = & \frac{1}{4\,r} - \frac{f'(r)}{2\,f(r)} - \frac{N'(r)}{4\,N(r)} - \frac{\sigma'(r)}{4\,\sigma} - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)}{2\,r^2} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)}{4\,r\,f(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)^2 N'(r)}{4\,f(r)^2} \\
& + \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)^2}{4\,r\,N(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)^2}{4\,f(r)\,N(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{r^2\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N(r) f'(r)\sigma'(r)}{2\,r\,f(r)\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)^2\sigma'(r)}{2\,f(r)^2\,\sigma(r)} \\
& + \frac{5\,\lambda\,N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{4\,r\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{5\,\lambda\,f'(r)N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{4\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)\,f''(r)}{4\,f(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma'(r)f''(r)}{2\,f(r)\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N''(r)}{4\,r} \\
& + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N''(r)}{4\,f(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma''(r)}{2\,r\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)\,\sigma''(r)}{2\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V}(r) = & -\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{N'(r)}{N(r)r} - \frac{N'(r)^2}{4\,N(r)^2} + \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)}{r^3} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)}{r^2\,f(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)^2}{r^2\,N(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)N'(r)^2}{r\,N(r)\,f(r)} \\
& + \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)^3}{4\,r\,N(r)^2} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)^3}{4\,f(r)\,N(r)^2} + \frac{2\,\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{r^3\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{2\,\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)^2\,\sigma'(r)^2}{r^2\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{2\lambda\,N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{r^2\sigma(r)} \\
& - \frac{2\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)\,\sigma(r)}{r\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)^2\,\sigma'(r)}{2\,r\,N(r)\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)^2\,\sigma'(r)}{2\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Z}(r) = & \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{f'(r)}{r\,f(r)} - \frac{3\,N'(r)}{4\,r\,N(r)} + \frac{f'(r)\,N'(r)}{2\,N(r)\,f(r)} - \frac{\sigma'(r)}{2\,r\,N(r)\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{4\,N(r)\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{N''(r)}{4\,N(r)} \\
& - \frac{3N'(r)}{4\,r\,N(r)} - \frac{3\,\lambda\,N'(r)}{2\,r^3} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)^2 N'(r)}{2\,r\,f(r)^2} + \frac{\lambda N'(r)^2}{r^2\,N(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)^2}{4\,r\,N(r)\,f(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)^2\,N'(r)^2}{4\,N(r)\,f(r)^2} \\
& - \frac{3\,\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{r^3\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)^2\,\sigma'(r)}{r\,f'(r)^2\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{7\,\lambda\,N'(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{2\,r^2\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{2\,\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{r\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} \\
& - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)^2 N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{2\,f(r)^2\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{3\,\lambda\,N'(r)^2\,\sigma'(r)}{4\,r\,N(r)\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{3\lambda\,f'(r)N'(r)^2\,\sigma'(r)}{4\,N(r)\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)\,f''(r)}{2\,r\,f(r)} \\
& - \frac{\lambda N'(r)^2 f''(r)}{2\,r\,N(r)\,f(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)\,N''(r)}{2\,N(r)\,f(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma'(r)\,f''(r)}{r\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)\sigma'(r)f''(r)}{2f(r)\,\sigma(r)} \\
& + \frac{\lambda\,N''(r)}{2\,N(r)\,r^2} + \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N''(r)}{2\,r\,f(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)\,N''(r)}{2\,r\,N(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,\sigma'(r)\,N''(r)}{2\,r\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\sigma'(r)\,N''(r)}{2\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} \\
& + \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma''(r)}{r^2\,\sigma(r)} + \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)\,\sigma''(r)}{r\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)\,\sigma''(r)}{2\,r\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)\,\sigma''(r)}{2\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.4}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{U}(r) = & \frac{2}{N(r)} - \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{f'(r)}{r\,f(r)} + \frac{2\,f'(r)^2}{f(r)^2} - \frac{2\,\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)^2}{r^2\,f(r)^2} + \frac{2\,\lambda\,N(r) f'(r)^2}{f(r)^4} + \frac{3\,\lambda\,N'(r)}{2\,r^3} \\ & - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)N'(r)}{r^2\,f(r)} - \frac{11\,\lambda\,f'(r)^2\,N'(r)}{2\,r\,f(r)^2} - \frac{4\,\lambda\,f'(r)^3\,N'(r)}{f(r)^3} - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)^2}{2\,r^2\,N(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)^2}{2\,r\,N(r)\,f(r)} \\ & + \frac{2\,\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{r^3\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{2\,\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{r^2\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{8\,\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)^2\,\sigma'(r)}{r\,f(r)^2\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{6\,\lambda N(r) f'(r)^3 \sigma'(r)}{f(r)^3\,\sigma(r)} \\ & - \frac{5\,\lambda\,N'(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{2\,r^2\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{5\,\lambda\,f'(r)\,N'(r)\,\sigma'(r)}{2\,r\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{2\,\lambda\,N(r)\,f'(r)\,f''(r)}{r\,f(r)^2} - \frac{2\,\lambda\,N(r) f'(r)^2\,f''(r)}{f(r)^3} \\ & - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)\,f''(r)}{2\,r\,f(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma'(r)\,f''(r)}{r\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N''(r)}{2\,r^2} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)\,N''(r)}{2\,r\,f(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\,\sigma''(r)}{r^2\,\sigma(r)} \\ & - \frac{\lambda N(r)\,f'(r)\,\sigma''(r)}{r\,f(r)\,\sigma(r)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}_1(r) = & \frac{1}{4N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)}{r\,N(r)\,f(r)\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)}{4\,r\,N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)N'(r)}{4\,f(r)N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} - \\ & \frac{\lambda\,f''(r)}{2\,N(r)f(r)\sigma(r)^2}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Y}_1(r) = & \frac{f(r)^4\phi(r)^2\omega(r)}{2N_{\#}^2 r^2 N(r)^2\sigma(r)^2} + \frac{f(r)^4\omega'(r)}{2r^3} - \frac{f(r)^3 f'(r)\omega'(r)}{r^2} - \frac{f(r)^4 N'(r)\omega'(r)}{2r^2 N(r)} - \\ & \frac{f(r)^4\sigma'(r)\omega'(r)}{2r^2\sigma(r)} - \frac{f(r)^4\omega''(r)}{2r^2}\,, \\ \tilde{Z}_1(r) = & \frac{N'(r)}{r\,N(r)} - \frac{4\,f'(r)}{r\,f(r)} + \frac{2\,f'(r)N'(r)}{f(r)N(r)} + \frac{2\sigma'(r)}{r\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{N(r)\sigma(r)} - \frac{N''(r)}{N(r)}\,, \\ \tilde{W}_1(r) = & \frac{8}{r^2} + \frac{4\,f'(r)}{r\,f(r)} - \frac{3\,N'(r)}{r\,N(r)} - \frac{2\,f'(r)\,N'(r)}{f(r)\,N(r)} + \frac{2\,\sigma'(r)}{r\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{N'(r)\sigma'(r)}{N(r)\sigma(r)} - \frac{N''(r)}{N(r)}\,, \\ \tilde{U}_1(r) = & \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\lambda\,N'(r)}{4r} - \frac{\lambda\,f'(r)N'(r)}{4f(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N(r)\sigma'(r)}{2\,r\,\sigma(r)} - \frac{\lambda\,N(r)f'(r)\sigma'(r)}{2f(r)\,\sigma(r)}\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9711200];
S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory," Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, [arXiv:hep-th/9802109];
E. Witten, " Anti-de Sitter space and holography," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, [arXiv:hep-th/97802150];

O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, " Large N field theories, String theory and gravity," Phys. Rept, **323** (2000) 183-386, [arXiv:hep-th/ 9905111].

[2] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets, "Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics," Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 111601 (2005). [hep-th/0405231].
P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics," Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 111601 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405231].
G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "The Shear viscosity of strongly coupled N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma," Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 081601 (2001) [hep-th/0104066].

[3] A. Buchel, "On universality of stress-energy tensor correlation functions in supergravity," Phys. Lett. **B609**, 392-401 (2005). [arXiv:hep-th/0408095 [hep-th]].

[4] P. Benincasa, A. Buchel, R. Naryshkin, "The Shear viscosity of gauge theory plasma with chemical potentials," Phys. Lett. **B645**, 309-313 (2007). [hep-th/0610145].
A. Buchel and J. T. Liu, "Universality of the shear viscosity in supergravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 090602 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0311175];
A. Buchel, "Resolving disagreement for eta/s in a CFT plasma at finite coupling," Nucl. Phys. B **803** (2008) 166, [arXiv:0805.2683 [hep-th]].

[5] N. Iqbal and H. Liu, "Universality of the hydrodynamic limit in AdS/CFT and the membrane paradigm," Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 025023 [arXiv:0809.3808 [hep-th]].

[6] E. V. Shuryak, "What RHIC experiments and theory tell us about properties of quark-gluon plasma?," Nucl. Phys. A **750**, 64 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0405066];
U. W. Heinz, arXiv:0810.5529 [nucl-th].
T. Schfer and D. Teaney, "Nearly Perfect Fluidity: From Cold Atomic Gases to Hot Quark Gluon Plasmas," Rept. Prog. Phys. **72** (2009) 126001 [arXiv:0904.3107 [hep-ph]].

[7] W. Zajc, "Quark Gluon Plasma at RHIC (and in QCD and String Theory)," presented at PASCOS 08 — see http://pirsa.org/08060040/;
K. Rajagopal, "Quark Gluon Plasma in QCD, at RHIC, and in String Theory," presented at PASCOS 08 — see http://pirsa.org/08060041/;
D. Mateos, "String Theory and Quantum Chromodynamics," Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) S713 [arXiv:0709.1523 [hep-th]];
S. S. Gubser, "Heavy ion collisions and black hole dynamics," Gen. Rel. Grav. **39** (2007) 1533 [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **17** (2008) 673];
D. T. Son, "Gauge-gravity duality and heavy-ion collisions," AIP Conf. Proc. **957** (2007) 134.

[8] D. Teaney, "Effect of shear viscosity on spectra, elliptic flow, and Hanbury Brown-Twiss radii," Phys. Rev. C **68** (2003) 034913 [arXiv:nucl-th/0301099];
A. Adare *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], "Energy Loss and Flow of Heavy Quarks in Au+Au Collisions at sqrt($s_N N$) = 200 GeV," Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 172301 [arXiv:nucl-ex/0611018];
M. Luzum and P. Romatschke, "Conformal Relativistic Viscous Hydrodynamics: Applications to RHIC results at sqrt($s_N N$) = 200 GeV," Phys. Rev. C **78**, 034915 (2008) [arXiv:0804.4015

[nucl-th]].

[9] P. Basu, J. He, A. Mukherjee, H. -H. Shieh, "Hard-gapped Holographic Superconductors," Phys. Lett. **B689**, 45-50 (2010). [arXiv:0911.4999 [hep-th]].
P. Basu, J. He, A. Mukherjee, H. -H. Shieh, "Superconductivity from D3/D7: Holographic Pion Superfluid," JHEP **0911**, 070 (2009). [arXiv:0810.3970 [hep-th]].

[10] M. Ammon, J. Erdmenger, V. Grass, P. Kerner, A. O'Bannon, "On Holographic p-wave Superfluids with Back-reaction," Phys. Lett. **B686**, 192-198 (2010). [arXiv:0912.3515 [hep-th]].
J. Erdmenger, P. Kerner, H. Zeller, "Non-universal shear viscosity from Einstein gravity," Phys. Lett. **B699**, 301-304 (2011). [arXiv:1011.5912 [hep-th]].
J. Erdmenger, P. Kerner and H. Zeller, "Transport in Anisotropic Superfluids: A Holographic Description," JHEP **1201** (2012) 059 [arXiv:1110.0007 [hep-th]].
J. Erdmenger, D. Fernandez and H. Zeller, "New Transport Properties of Anisotropic Holographic Superfluids," JHEP **1304** (2013) 049 [arXiv:1212.4838 [hep-th]].

[11] P. Basu and J. H. Oh, "Analytic Approaches to Anisotropic Holographic Superfluids," JHEP **1207** (2012) 106 [arXiv:1109.4592 [hep-th]].

[12] M. Natsuume, M. Ohta, "The Shear viscosity of holographic superfluids," Prog. Theor. Phys. **124**, 931-951 (2010). [arXiv:1008.4142 [hep-th]].

[13] R. Manvelyan, E. Radu and D. H. Tchrakian, "New AdS non Abelian black holes with superconducting horizons," Phys. Lett. B **677**, 79 (2009) [arXiv:0812.3531 [hep-th]].

[14] S. S. Gubser, "Colorful horizons with charge in anti-de Sitter space," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 191601 (2008). [arXiv:0803.3483 [hep-th]].
S. S. Gubser, S. S. Pufu, "The Gravity dual of a p-wave superconductor," JHEP **0811**, 033 (2008). [arXiv:0805.2960 [hep-th]].

[15] M. M. Roberts, S. A. Hartnoll, "Pseudogap and time reversal breaking in a holographic superconductor," JHEP **0808**, 035 (2008). [arXiv:0805.3898 [hep-th]].

[16] C. P. Herzog, S. S. Pufu, "The Second Sound of SU(2)," JHEP **0904**, 126 (2009). [arXiv:0902.0409 [hep-th]].
C. P. Herzog, N. Lisker, P. Surowka, A. Yarom, "Transport in holographic superfluids," JHEP **1108**, 052 (2011). [arXiv:1101.3330 [hep-th]].

[17] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla and A. Yarom, "A Theory of first order dissipative superfluid dynamics," JHEP **1405** (2014) 147 [arXiv:1105.3733 [hep-th]].
J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla, "Dissipative Superfluid dynamics from gravity," JHEP **1104** (2011) 125. [arXiv:1101.3332 [hep-th]].

[18] Y. Kats and P. Petrov, "Effect of curvature squared corrections in AdS on the viscosity of the dual gauge theory," JHEP **0901** (2009) 044 [arXiv:0712.0743 [hep-th]].

[19] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, "Viscosity Bound Violation in Higher Derivative Gravity," Phys. Rev. D **77**, 126006 (2008) [arXiv:0712.0805 [hep-th]].

M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, "The Viscosity Bound and Causality Violation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 191601 (2008) [arXiv:0802.3318 [hep-th]].

[20] A. Buchel, "Shear viscosity of CFT plasma at finite coupling," Phys. Lett. B **665** (2008) 298 [arXiv:0804.3161 [hep-th]].
A. Buchel, R. C. Myers and A. Sinha, "Beyond eta/s = 1/4 pi," JHEP **0903** (2009) 084 [arXiv:0812.2521 [hep-th]]

[21] R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos and A. Sinha, "Quantum corrections to eta/s," Phys. Rev. D **79**, 041901 (2009) [arXiv:0806.2156 [hep-th]].
X. O. Camanho, J. D. Edelstein and M. F. Paulos, "Lovelock theories, holography and the fate of the viscosity bound," JHEP **1105** (2011) 127 [arXiv:1010.1682 [hep-th]].

[22] A. Sinha and R. C. Myers, "The Viscosity bound in string theory," Nucl. Phys. A **830** (2009) 295C [arXiv:0907.4798 [hep-th]].
S. Cremonini, "The Shear Viscosity to Entropy Ratio: A Status Report," Mod. Phys. Lett. B **25** (2011) 1867 [arXiv:1108.0677 [hep-th]].

[23] N. Banerjee and S. Dutta, "Higher Derivative Corrections to Shear Viscosity from Graviton's Effective Coupling," JHEP **0903**, 116 (2009) [arXiv:0901.3848 [hep-th]].
N. Banerjee and S. Dutta, "Shear Viscosity to Entropy Density Ratio in Six Derivative Gravity," JHEP **0907** (2009) 024 [arXiv:0903.3925 [hep-th]].
N. Banerjee and S. Dutta, "Near-Horizon Analysis of eta/s," Nucl. Phys. B **845** (2011) 165 [arXiv:0911.0557 [hep-th]].

[24] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "The ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density in generalized theories of gravity," Phys. Rev. D **79**, 021901 (2009) [arXiv:0808.3498 [hep-th]];
R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "The shear diffusion coefficient for generalized theories of gravity," Phys. Lett. B **671**, 119 (2009) [arXiv:0810.2193 [hep-th]];
R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "The sound damping constant for generalized theories of gravity," Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 126012 [arXiv:0901.2191 [hep-th]];
R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "Proof of a universal lower bound on the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio," Phys. Lett. B **691** (2010) 87 [arXiv:0908.1473 [hep-th]].

[25] R. G. Cai and Y. W. Sun, "Shear Viscosity from AdS Born-Infeld Black Holes," JHEP **0809**, 115 (2008) [arXiv:0807.2377 [hep-th]];
R. G. Cai, Z. Y. Nie and Y. W. Sun, "Shear Viscosity from Effective Couplings of Gravitons," Phys. Rev. D **78**, 126007 (2008) [arXiv:0811.1665 [hep-th]].
R. G. Cai, Z. Y. Nie, N. Ohta and Y. W. Sun, "Shear Viscosity from Gauss-Bonnet Gravity with a Dilaton Coupling," Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 066004 [arXiv:0901.1421 [hep-th]];
R. G. Cai, Y. Liu and Y. W. Sun, "Transport Coefficients from Extremal Gauss-Bonnet Black Holes," JHEP **1004** (2010) 090 [arXiv:0910.4705 [hep-th]].

[26] X. H. Ge, Y. Matsuo, F. W. Shu, S. J. Sin and T. Tsukioka, "Viscosity Bound, Causality Violation and Instability with Stringy Correction and Charge," JHEP **0810**, 009 (2008), [arXiv:0808.2354 [hep-th]];

X. H. Ge, S. J. Sin, S. F. Wu and G. H. Yang, "Shear viscosity and instability from third order Lovelock gravity," Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 104019 [arXiv:0905.2675 [hep-th]];
X. H. Ge and S. J. Sin, "Shear viscosity, instability and the upper bound of the Gauss-Bonnet coupling constant," JHEP **0905** (2009) 051 [arXiv:0903.2527 [hep-th]].
I. P. Neupane, "Black Holes, Entropy Bound and Causality Violation," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24** (2009) 3584 [arXiv:0904.4805 [gr-qc]];
I. P. Neupane and N. Dadhich, "Entropy Bound and Causality Violation in Higher Curvature Gravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **26** (2009) 015013 [arXiv:0808.1919 [hep-th]];
J. de Boer, M. Kulaxizi and A. Parnachev, "AdS(7)/CFT(6), Gauss-Bonnet Gravity, and Viscosity Bound," JHEP **1003** (2010) 087 [arXiv:0910.5347 [hep-th]];
D. W. Pang, "On Charged Lifshitz Black Holes," JHEP **1001** (2010) 116 [arXiv:0911.2777 [hep-th]].
L. K. Joshi and P. Ramadevi, "Backreaction effects due to matter coupled higher derivative gravity," arXiv:1409.8019 [hep-th].

[27] A. Buchel, R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos and A. Sinha, "Universal holographic hydrodynamics at finite coupling," Phys. Lett. B **669** (2008) 364 [arXiv:0808.1837 [hep-th]].
S. Cremonini, K. Hanaki, J. T. Liu and P. Szepietowski, "Higher derivative effects on eta/s at finite chemical potential," Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 025002 [arXiv:0903.3244 [hep-th]].

[28] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "Holography and hydrodynamics: Diffusion on stretched horizons," JHEP **0310** (2003) 064 [hep-th/0309213]. A. Buchel, J. T. Liu and A. O. Starinets, "Coupling constant dependence of the shear viscosity in N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory," Nucl. Phys. B **707**, 56 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0406264].
J. Mas, "Shear viscosity from R-charged AdS black holes," JHEP **0603** (2006) 016 [hep-th/0601144].
D. Mateos, R. C. Myers and R. M. Thomson, "Holographic viscosity of fundamental matter," Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 101601 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610184].
K. Landsteiner and J. Mas, "The shear viscosity of the non-commutative plasma," JHEP **0707**, 088 (2007) [arXiv:0706.0411 [hep-th]].
M. R. Garousi and A. Ghodsi, "Hydrodynamics of N = 6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories at Strong Coupling," Nucl. Phys. B **812** (2009) 470 [arXiv:0808.0411 [hep-th]];
M. Alishahiha and A. Ghodsi, Phys. Rev. **D80**: 026004, 2009 [arXiv:0901.3431[hep-th]]

[29] K. Maeda, M. Natsuume and T. Okamura, "Viscosity of gauge theory plasma with a chemical potential from AdS/CFT," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 066013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602010];
O. Saremi, "The viscosity bound conjecture and hydrodynamics of M2-brane theory at finite chemical potential," JHEP **0610**, 083 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0601159];
M. Mia, K. Dasgupta, C. Gale and S. Jeon, "Five Easy Pieces: The Dynamics of Quarks in Strongly Coupled Plasmas," Nucl. Phys. B **839** (2010) 187 [arXiv:0902.1540 [hep-th]];
R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos and A. Sinha, "Holographic Hydrodynamics with a Chemical Potential," JHEP **0906** (2009) 006 [arXiv:0903.2834 [hep-th]].
E. I. Buchbinder and A. Buchel, "The Fate of the Sound and Diffusion in Holographic Magnetic Field," Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 046006 [arXiv:0811.4325 [hep-th]].

A. Buchel, "Shear viscosity of boost invariant plasma at finite coupling," Nucl. Phys. B **802**, 281 (2008) [arXiv:0801.4421 [hep-th]];

[30] P. Benincasa and A. Buchel, "Transport properties of N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at finite coupling," JHEP **0601**, 103 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0510041]. T. D. Cohen, "Is there a 'most perfect fluid' consistent with quantum field theory?," Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 021602 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702136].
D. T. Son, "Comment on 'Is There a 'Most Perfect Fluid' Consistent with Quantum Field Theory?'," Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 029101 (2008) [arXiv:0709.4651 [hep-th]].

[31] J. R. David, M. Mahato and S. R. Wadia, "Hydrodynamics from the D1-brane," JHEP **0904** (2009) 042 [arXiv:0901.2013 [hep-th]].
J. R. David, M. Mahato, S. Thakur and S. R. Wadia, "Hydrodynamics of R-charged D1-branes," JHEP **1101** (2011) 014 [arXiv:1008.4350 [hep-th]].

[32] J. Erdmenger and S. Steinfurt, "A universal fermionic analogue of the shear viscosity," JHEP **1307** (2013) 018 [arXiv:1302.1869 [hep-th]].
H. Zeller, J. Erdmenger, P. Kerner and D. Fernandez, "Transport in Anisotropic Superfluids: A Holographic Description," PoS ConfinementX (2012) 267.
Y. Y. Bu, J. Erdmenger, M. Strydom and J. Shock, "Holographic Superfluidity from a Magnetic Field," PoS ConfinementX (2012) 268.

[33] A. Buchel and S. Cremonini, "Viscosity Bound and Causality in Superfluid Plasma," JHEP **1010**, 026 (2010) [arXiv:1007.2963 [hep-th]].
S. Cremonini and P. Szepietowski, "Generating Temperature Flow for eta/s with Higher Derivatives: From Lifshitz to AdS," JHEP **1202**, 038 (2012) [arXiv:1111.5623 [hep-th]].
S. Cremonini, U. Gursoy and P. Szepietowski, "On the Temperature Dependence of the Shear Viscosity and Holography," JHEP **1208** (2012) 167 [arXiv:1206.3581 [hep-th]].

[34] D. M. Hofman and J. Maldacena, "Conformal collider physics: Energy and charge correlations," JHEP **0805** (2008) 012 [arXiv:0803.1467 [hep-th]];
D. M. Hofman, "Higher Derivative Gravity, Causality and Positivity of Energy in a UV complete QFT," Nucl. Phys. B **823** (2009) 174 [arXiv:0907.1625 [hep-th]].
M. Kulaxizi and A. Parnachev, "Energy Flux Positivity and Unitarity in CFTs," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 011601 [arXiv:1007.0553 [hep-th]].
X. O. Camanho, J. D. Edelstein, J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, "Causality Constraints on Corrections to the Graviton Three-Point Coupling," arXiv:1407.5597 [hep-th].

[35] R. C. Myers and B. Robinson, "Black Holes in Quasi-topological Gravity," JHEP **1008** (2010) 067 [arXiv:1003.5357 [gr-qc]].
L. Y. Hung, R. C. Myers and M. Smolkin, "On Holographic Entanglement Entropy and Higher Curvature Gravity," JHEP **1104** (2011) 025 [arXiv:1101.5813 [hep-th]].

[36] R. G. Cai, "Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS spaces," Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 084014 [hep-th/0109133].

[37] D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets, "Minkowski space correlators in AdS / CFT correspondence: Recipe

and applications," JHEP **0209**, 042 (2002). [hep-th/0205051].
G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "From AdS / CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics," JHEP **0209** (2002) 043 [hep-th/0205052].
G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "From AdS / CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics. 2. Sound waves," JHEP **0212**, 054 (2002) [hep-th/0210220].
C. P. Herzog, D. T. Son, "Schwinger-Keldysh propagators from AdS/CFT correspondence," JHEP **0303**, 046 (2003). [hep-th/0212072].

[38] D. Mateos and D. Trancanelli, "The anisotropic N=4 super Yang-Mills plasma and its instabilities," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011) 101601 [arXiv:1105.3472 [hep-th]].
S. Jain, N. Kundu, K. Sen, A. Sinha and S. P. Trivedi, "A Strongly Coupled Anisotropic Fluid From Dilaton Driven Holography," arXiv:1406.4874 [hep-th].
L. Cheng, X. H. Ge and S. J. Sin, "Anisotropic plasma at finite $U(1)$ chemical potential," JHEP **1407** (2014) 083 [arXiv:1404.5027 [hep-th]].
L. Cheng, X. H. Ge and S. J. Sin, "Anisotropic plasma with a chemical potential and scheme-independent instabilities," Phys. Lett. B **734** (2014) 116 [arXiv:1404.1994 [hep-th]].